

From: [HOPE Bruce](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: First cut at PH data by segment
Date: 05/04/2006 09:20 AM

Thanks. It looks like I might need some help getting the hydrology of multiple segments correct or Ray Walton will not support the T&F model. Can an EPA hydrologist help with this?

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 8:58 AM
To: HOPE Bruce
Cc: ANDERSON Jim M; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; PETERSON Jenn L
Subject: Re: First cut at PH data by segment

Bruce, at first glance, this looks consistent. Our highest hit of PCBs was off-shore of Gunderson (Sample G453, RM 8.8). There are some other high concentrations in this reach as well (G467 and G473).

Eric

HOPE Bruce <HOPE.Bruce@deq. state.or.us> 05/03/2006 04:21 PM	To PETERSON Jenn L <PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>, ANDERSON Jim M <ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us> cc Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA Subject First cut at PH data by segment
--	--

Jennifer / Jim -

I had time to get into the Excel files that Arron assembled arranging the PH data by segment. The attached slide shows average total Aroclor (sum of all Aroclor values flagged as detected) concentrations (Round 2) in sediment by segment. It's very preliminary but I thought I'd pass it by you to see if it makes any sense given your better intuitive knowledge of the Harbor. The big spike in concentration is on the west side between RM 8.2 to 9.4.

Bruce
[attachment "Aroclor by seg.ppt" deleted by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US]